UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/596,724	06/22/2006	Noriyoshi Okuzono	145181	9925
25944 OLIFF & BERI	7590 01/21/201 RIDGE, PLC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3208	350	NGUYEN, DUNG V		
ALEXANDKIA	A, VA 22320-4850		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3723	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/21/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision
from Pre-Appeal Brief
Review

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination		
10/596,724	OKUZONO ET AL.		
	Art Unit		
Dung Van Nguyen	3723		
	_	-	

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal	Brief Request for Rev	iew filed 15 Dec	ember 2010.				
 Improper Request – The Freason(s): 	Request is improper ar	d a conference	will not be held for the following				
☐ The Notice of Appeal has☐ The request does not inc☐ A proposed amendment i☐ Other:	lude reasons why a re	view is appropria	ate.				
The time period for filing a response continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from the mail date of the last Office communication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.							
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been held. The application remains under appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date of the notice of appeal, as applicable.							
The panel has determine Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-6</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from con		um(s) is as follov	ws:				
3. Allowable application – A Allowance will be mailed. Prosect applicant at this time.							
4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action will be mailed. No further action is required by applicant at this time.							
All participants:							
(1) <u>Dung Van Nguyen</u> .		(3) Nathan J. Newhouse.					
(2) Joseph J. Hail, III.		(4)					
/Dung Van Nguyen/ /Joseph J. I Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3723 Supervisory Unit 3723		examiner, Art	/Nathan J. Newhouse/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3782				